UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,557	10/03/2005	Christos Tsaklakidis	MERCK-2723	3824
23599 7590 05/27/2010 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			LOEWE, SUN JAE Y	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/551,557	TSAKLAKIDIS ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		SUN JAE Y. LOEWE	1626	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,23,26,29-33 and 40-70 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,23,26,29-33 and 40-70 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and and allowed.	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ecepted or b) objected to by the leed of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Burestee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/551,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The remarks and amendments to the claims filed on February 26, 2010 have been fully considered. The 35 USC 112 1st paragraph rejection with respect to solvates has been obviated and is thus hereby withdrawn. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection for US 7,504,500 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's remarks that the claimed process in the patent is drawn to different intermediates/starting materials relative to the instant process claims.
- 2. The 35 USC 112 1st paragraph enablement rejection for method of use is maintained. Applicant has argued that the rejection is in error because mere unpredictability as well as lack of working examples does not render experimentation undue for enablement. This argument has been considered, however, it is not found to be persuasive. The specification discloses an in vitro activity of the instant compounds as Factor Xa inhibitors. The specification does not provide any additional disclosure in support for the method of treating diseases. Thus, one must look for support for the claimed methods in the current state of the art. It has been stated that there is no established correlation between in vitro Factor Xa inhibition and the treatment of diseases. Furthermore, the treatment of the diseases claimed in unpredictable, as provided in the office action. Therefore, due to the lack of a correlation, in view of the unpredictability in the art, and the lack of working examples or guidance in the specification a determination was made that the claims are not enabled. This determination is still viewed to be proper. Applicant is invited to provide information

Application/Control Number: 10/551,557 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

showing that the instant compounds are active for the process claimed, or provide information as to Factor Xa inhibitors that are known in the art for the treatment of the claimed diseases.

3. The obviousness type double patenting rejection over US 11/576,207 is maintained because the generic claims remain rejected.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUN JAE Y. LOEWE whose telephone number is (571)272-9074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 Est.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,557 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sun Jae Y. Loewe/ 5-23-2010